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ABSTRACT
This aim of this study was to examine the relative effectiveness of two summary-skill techniques on secondary school students’ achievement in summary writing. The study adopted pre-test, post-test, control group quasi-experimental design. The instrument used was Students’ Achievement Test in Summary adapted from West African Examinations Council (WAEC). Three hypotheses were tested at 0.5 alpha level. Data were analysed using means, standard deviation and ANCOVA. There is significant main effect of treatment on achievement in summary, but there is no significant effect of gender on students’ achievement in summary. On the other hand, the interaction effect of treatment and gender on students’ achievement in summary is not also significant. Students have been found performing very poorly in summary writing. This happens because teachers have not been teaching it appropriately. Summary-skill techniques are very effective in the teaching of summary. Teachers of English Language in secondary schools should make use of summary-skill techniques in the teaching of summary.
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INTRODUCTION
Language has been regarded as an important tool of communication among human beings. It plays multifaceted roles in human endeavours that could not be underestimated. According to Sanusi (1996), language is an integral part of culture, communication and learning. In the same vein, the role which it plays in the education of man is enormous and perhaps invaluable. Wallwork (1980) opines that language is used to establish our social relationships with each other. It is used to embody or enable thought. That is to say language and thought are mutually interdependent. It is also used to enable self-expression and it shows creativity. This is also re-echoed by Lucy (1992) and Gumperz and Levinson (1996). English Language is not an exception to this. According to Fakeye (2001), ability to use English Language effectively may qualify one for entry into an international community of wealth, power and influence apart from using it as local, national and medium of instruction in schools. It is a core subject in the senior secondary school curriculum. Failure to pass it at credit level may mar the progress of a student’s life. It is very unfortunate to note that at the school certificate level, the performance of students in this subject is nothing to write home about almost on a yearly basis. Scholars and researchers have given many reasons for this ugly situation. In the opinion of Iyagba (1983), one of the reasons for poor performance of students in English Language at Senior Secondary Certificate Examination level is that...
teachers of English Language do not bother to use the available resources and techniques which will motivate the students to learn. Adenle (1996) attributes this ugly situation to poor reading ability of students. He therefore advises teachers of English Language to use methods and techniques that would improve the reading skills of students. This is reechoed by Adelabu (1998) when she says that many students lack efficient reading skills which account for their poor reading comprehension. There are many aspects of English Language where students' knowledge is tested at the school certificate level such as: essay/letter writing, comprehension, summary, lexis and structure and test of orals. Paper 1 has three aspects viz: essay/letter writing - 50 marks, summary writing - 30 marks and comprehension - 20 marks. It could be realised that summary writing is an aspect of the language test that carries a reasonable percentage of 30 out of 100% of the paper. It is therefore very unfortunate to note that summary is an aspect of the language where students perform dismally. Without mincing words, teachers should struggle to see that their performance improves in summary writing.

According to Biney (1985), summary means act of shortening a passage by writing only the salient or main points in it. Aderibigbe (1985) also describes summary as bringing out the main items or highlights in a piece of writing. In the opinion of WAEC Chief Examiners' Report of May/June 2005, the major weakness of the candidates is the inclusion of irrelevant or unnecessary details in their answers. They therefore advise candidates that, to summarize is to state the core of the matter. Similarly, Banjo et al (2007) contend that summary calls for cogency, brevity and clarity of points. According to Aimunmondion (2009), some teachers seem not to know how to teach summary in the class. The teaching of English Language itself has been handled by incompetent hands, when it comes to summary teaching, many teachers lose interest. Failure of teachers to use appropriate method or techniques to teach summary like the use of summary skills is responsible for students' poor performance in summary writing (Aimunmondion, 2009).

These summary skills could be regarded as teaching techniques that are very germane, invaluable and indispensable to the teaching and learning of summary. One very grievous and unpardonable offence students usually commit in summary writing is that of mindless lifting and inclusion of extraneous materials (Aimunmondion, 2009). But the question is: as teachers, do we teach the students the various techniques they can use so as not to fall into these mistakes in summary writing? Some of these summary skills are: ability to identify and restructure the topic sentence in paragraphs, ability to condense vocabulary in each paragraph, ability to condense structure, ability to paraphrase the passage, ability to differentiate between important and irrelevant ideas, ability to economize paragraphs among others. How many secondary school teachers are aware of these summary skills? If they are aware of them do they utilise them? These are what the students should be taught before they can excel in summary writing. It is hoped that if students are able to apply all or some of these skills to summary passages, it will go a long way in enhancing their better performance in summary writing. The researcher in this study has experimented two of these summary-skill techniques viz: ability to identify and restructure the topic sentence in paragraphs and ability to condense vocabulary in paragraphs. The topic sentence is the
main sentence in a paragraph (Fasokun et al, 1988). It is on it that other sentences in the paragraph depend. The knowledge of the topic sentence in paragraphs therefore, adds to the understanding of the main theme of the passage. In the process of summarizing a paragraph, Sybil et al (1990) recommend as follows:

(i) Identify the main topic of the paragraph and restructure.
(ii) Look for the topic sentence which is usually given as the first sentence in most cases in a paragraph.

If it is not given as the opening sentence, see if it is implicit, or if it is in the middle or at the end of the paragraph. According to Sybil et al (1990), it means the topic sentence is mobile. It could appear as the first sentence in a paragraph. It could also appear in the middle or end of a paragraph. This is also reechoed by Banjo et al (2007). The restructuring being mentioned in (i) above is to bail the students/candidates out of being penalized for mindless lifting. The implication of what Sybil et al (1990) and Banjo et al (2007) are saying is that if a passage has five paragraphs, there will be five topic sentences. It is these five topic sentences that will be restructured after identification since the candidates are usually expected to write their answers in sentences. So, all the topic sentences will constitute correct answers to the question(s) asked after they might have been restructured.

On condensation of vocabulary, Crawshaw and Perkins (1978) look at it as the process of making a long piece of writing shorter by taking out everything that is not necessary. They contend that when tackling a summary passage one has to consider his vocabulary very carefully. According to them, a phrase needs a considerable number of words and one may not be able to afford to use it. Nevertheless, they maintain that a little thought may result in one word which will express the identical idea. It is therefore the opinion of the researcher in this study that the performance of students in summary writing will be enhanced if judiciously used.

One factor that has plagued the teaching and learning of English Language today at senior secondary school level in Nigeria is students' poor performance in the language. It has been identified that a contributory factor to this usual abysmal failure in the language is their poor performance in summary writing due to poor method of teaching. The study therefore investigate the effects of two summary-skill techniques viz: ability to identify and restructure the topic sentence in paragraphs and ability to condense vocabulary in paragraphs on students' achievement in summary writing. The study further determine the moderating effect of gender on students' achievement in summary writing. The following hypotheses were tested at 0.05 level of significance in the study:

H₀₁ There is no significant main effect of treatment on students' achievement in summary writing.

H₀₂ There is no significant main effect of gender on students' achievement in summary writing.

H₀₃ There is no significant interaction effect of treatment and gender on students' achievement in summary writing.
PARTICIPANTS AND PROCEDURE

A pre-test, post-test, control group quasi-experimental design was used in the study. The study therefore adopts 3x2 factorial matrix which is expressed in detail below:

**Table 1:** Tabular representation of the factorial matrix (3x2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Gender</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Identification and restructuring of topic sentence</td>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condensation of vocabulary</td>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The variables of the study are categorised into three, namely:

**Independent variable:** What constitutes the independent variable is instructional strategy manipulated at three levels viz: (i) identification and restructuring of topic sentence, (ii) Condensation of vocabulary, and (iii) Control.

**Moderator variable:** This is only one i.e Gender varied at two levels - male and female.

**Dependent variable:** It is only one, that is, Achievement in summary writing which is summarized on table 2 below:

**Table 2:** Tabular representation of variables in the study:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent variables</th>
<th>Moderator variable</th>
<th>Dependent variable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Instructional strategy</td>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Achievement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i. Identification and restructuring of topic sentence</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii. Condensation of vocabulary</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iii. Control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

One hundred and twenty students in three co-educational senior secondary schools in Ibadan metropolis participated in the study. The three schools used were randomly selected among the schools in the metropolis. An intact class was used in the three schools. Two schools were used as experimental groups while the remaining one was used as control group. Only one instrument was used in the study and this is Students' Achievement Test in Summary Writing. The summary test was adapted from WAEC which is believed to have been validated and found reliable. The researcher visited the three schools used namely: African Church Grammar School, Apata, Ibadan; Baptist Secondary Grammar School, Oke-Ado, Ibadan and Anglican Commercial Secondary Grammar School, Orita Mefa, Ibadan to seek the consent of their principals. Having obtained the approval, Senior Secondary school 3, English Language teachers were employed as research assistants. That is, one research assistant per school. African Church Grammar school, Apata, Ibadan and Baptist Secondary Grammar School, Oke-Ado, Ibadan were used as experimental groups while Anglican Commercial Grammar School, Orita Mefa, Ibadan was used as control group. The research assistants in the two experimental groups were briefed on what would be their roles concerning the use of the two summary-skill techniques while that of the control group was instructed to teach with a conventional method without exposing the students to any summary-skill technique. Before the treatment commenced, the pre-test was conducted in all the groups. After a week of the conduct of the pre-test,
treatment followed for three weeks after which the same test given in the pre-test was re
represented to the three groups as post-test. The scores of the pre-test and post-test were
compared to determine which group had performed better in the summary test. The data
collected were analysed using means, standard deviation and Analysis of Covariance
(ANCOVA) to test the hypotheses and to determine the main and interaction effects of the
variables under study. Multiple Classification Analysis (MCA) was also used to determine
the direction of effects of the independent and moderator variables on the dependent
variable. The results of the study are presented in the order of the hypotheses and followed
by their discussion.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A 3x2 ANCOVA of Post Summary Achievement Scores by Treatment and Gender with
pre-test achievement as covariates is shown on table 3. The results indicate that all the
experimental groups performed better than the control group. This shows that the summary-
skill techniques used were very effective in all the experimental groups. The results show
a significant effect of treatment on students' achievement in summary. Therefore, the null
hypothesis that there is no significant main effect of treatment on students' achievement in
summary writing is rejected. Table 4 shows the effect of gender on achievement in summary
writing. On table 3, gender was seen not to have contributed significantly to the students'
achievement scores in summary. Therefore, the null hypothesis that there is no significant
main effect of gender on students' achievement in summary writing is retained. The Multiple
Classification Analysis (MCA) of Post-test Summary Achievement Scores by Treatment
and Gender with Pre-test Achievement as covariates are shown on table 4. The Multiple
Classification Analysis (MCA) on table 4 shows the adjusted summary achievement mean
scores of the treatment groups as follows: Experimental Group 2 obtained the highest
adjusted mean score of 27.12, followed by Experimental Group 1 with 26.85 while the
control group obtained the adjusted mean score of 14.30. These values were got by
adding up the grand mean and the adjusted mean.

The table also shows that treatment accounted for 43.69 (0.661)^2 x 100). The
Multiple Classification Analysis (MCA) on table 4 shows the adjusted mean achievement
scores of students according to gender. Female students performed better than male
students but the difference is not significant (23.59 and 22.01) respectively. Table 4 indicates
no significant interaction effect of treatment and gender on students' achievement in summary.
Thus, null hypothesis that there is no significant interaction effect of treatment and gender
on student's achievement in summary writing was not rejected. This shows that the
summary-skill techniques could be used with all the students irrespective of their gender in
the teaching of summary. This corroborates the studies of Grace (1998a), Chun and Plass
(1996) and Makinde (2004) who report that sex is no barrier to students' achievement.
However, the study is contrary to the studies of Salami (1997), Brosnan (1998) and
Eccles (1989) who realize that gender contributes significantly to the achievement of students
in their academic career. The combination of treatment and gender was not found significant
on the achievement of students in summary as shown on table 3. This implies that treatment
is gender insensitive. In other words, it shows that the effects of treatment on students' in summary does not vary from male to female. This result supports the findings of Aremu (1998), Adegbile (1999) and Adesoji (1999) who do not see any interaction effect of gender on students' performance in their various studies. However, the study is incompatible with the studies of Oyesiji (1999), Oladunni (1996) and Bacon (1992) who argue on the contrary. It therefore follows that teachers of English Language should apply summary-skill techniques in the teaching of summary irrespective of their students' gender to enhance their achievement scores in summary writing.

Table 3: Summary of ANCOVA for the Post Summary Achievement Scores by Treatment and Gender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of Variation</th>
<th>Sum of Squares</th>
<th>Df</th>
<th>Mean square</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig of F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Covariates (Pre-test)</td>
<td>122.960</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>122.960</td>
<td>2.209</td>
<td>.109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main effects (Combined)</td>
<td>4,395.186</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1,465.062</td>
<td>31.088</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>4,338.851</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2,169.426</td>
<td>46.035</td>
<td>.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>56.334</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>56.334</td>
<td>1.195</td>
<td>.276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-way interactions:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment x Gender</td>
<td>90.653</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>45.327</td>
<td>.962</td>
<td>.385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td>4,608.799</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>768.133</td>
<td>15.300</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>5,513.707</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>47.126k</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>10,122.56</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>82.297</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: The Multiple Classification Analysis of Post-test Summary Achievement Scores by Treatment and Gender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable + Category</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Unadjusted deviation for factors and covariates</th>
<th>Eta</th>
<th>Adjusted deviation for factors &amp; covariates</th>
<th>Beta</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Treatment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experimental Group 1</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>3.9963</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.0602</td>
<td>.661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experimental Group 2</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>4.0431</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.3385</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control Group</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>-8.1358</td>
<td>.633</td>
<td>-8.4909</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>-7229</td>
<td></td>
<td>-7608</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>.7466</td>
<td>-.081</td>
<td>.8064</td>
<td>.088</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CONCLUSION

This study shows that there is significant effect of treatment on students' achievement in summary writing as the experimental groups performed better than the control group. Gender is found not to contribute significantly to variations in the students' achievement scores in summary writing. Treatment and gender are also found not to have significant effect on the variation in students' scores in their achievement in summary writing. Based on the findings of this study, it is recommended that there is need for secondary school teachers to know that it is necessary for them to employ summary skill-techniques in summary teaching to enhance their students' performance. Teachers should not be gender biased while discharging their pedagogical assignment in the classroom. Whether a student is a male or female they should be given equal treatment by the teacher.
REFERENCES


Aremu, A. O. (1998). Behavioural preparedness of students that are willing to take important examinations. A study of University of Ibadan students (B.Ed project, Department of Guidance and Counselling, University of Ibadan, Ibadan).


